

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/721,729		WILSON ET AL.		
	Examiner		Art Unit		
	Kenneth Thompson		3672		

All Participants:

(1) Kenneth Thompson.

(2) James E. Bradley.

Date of Interview: 21 June 2006

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

2 and 6

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's Attorney and the Examiner agreed to amend the claims above to provide antecedent basis for the motor.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.


☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: Claims amended in response to a Non-final rejection

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 11:56



 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)